Application No.: 10/682,347 Attorney Docket No. 21051.00

Art Unit: 1793 Confirmation No. 8743

REMARKS

By the present amendment, Applicant has canceled Claims 1-8 and Claim 28 (renumbered).

Also, Claim 9 and Claims 10-27 (renumbered) have been amended. Note that original Claims "11"

through "29" have been renumbers as Claims –10–through –28–, respectively. Claims 9-27 remain

pending in the present application. Claim 9 is an independent claims.

The Examiner has maintained the propriety of the restriction requirement of record and has

made it FINAL. Claims 1-8 were held withdrawn from further consideration by the Examiner as being

directed to a non-elected invention. Accordingly, it is proposed herein that the instant claims be

canceled to expedite prosecution of the present application. However, it should be noted that under

the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 121 Applicant reserves the right to file a divisional application directed

to the non-elected subject matter.

In the recent Office Action, the Examiner rejected Claims "9-29" under 35 U.S.C. § 112,

second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claim "29" was rejected Claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

as being anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Komoriya et al. (US 6,322,606) or Hudson et al. (US 5,538,531) or Hirano et al. (US 6,231,633).

Claim "29" was also rejected Claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by or, in the

7

Attorney Docket No. 21051.00

Confirmation No. 8743

Application No.: 10/682,347

Art Unit: 1793

alternative, under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marhusch (US 6,503,288). The

Examiner indicated that the method claims were patentable over the prior art and would be allowable

if amended to overcome the Section 112, second paragraph, rejection of record.

The cancellation of renumber Claim 28 by the present amendment should serve to render the

prior art rejections of record moot with respect to the instant claim. With regard to the remaining

method claims, the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter is noted with appreciation. In

this regard, Applicant has amended independent Claim 9 and renumbered Claims 10-27 to more

particularly define the subject matter in question. Specifically, Claim 9 has been amended to recite the

upper temperature of "150" for the preheating step. Amended renumbered Claims 12-16 and 20 now

recite proper Markush terminology. Also, the dependency of Claims 10-27 have been changed in the

interest of consistency and clarity. Claims 15, 16, and 18 have been further amended to provide

express antecedent support for the claim language. Care has been exercised to ensure that no new

matter has been introduced by the present revisions to the claims. Applicant respectfully submits that

amended Claims 9-27 are in full compliance with the specific requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112, second

paragraph,.

8

Application No. : 10/682,347 Attorney Docket No. 21051.00 Confirmation No. 8743

Art Unit: 1793

For the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that the present application is in condition for allowance. If such is not the case, the Examiner is requested to kindly contact the undersigned in an effort to satisfactorily conclude the prosecution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Dolph H. Torrence

Registration No. 34,501

(703) 486-1000